



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,615	07/24/2004	Ha Ngan Roda	4614	
7590 07/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Ha Ngan Roda 4256 Chase Avenue			TRETTEL, MICHAEL	
Los Angeles, C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3673	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner	
Advisory Action 10/710,615	R

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/710,615	RODA, HA NGAN
Examiner	Art Unit

	10/110,010	ROBA, HA NOAN				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trettel	3673	i I			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		•				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered I	hecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: See attached sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116		jeoted cidiiiis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the constant of the co	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	:hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:						
10		Michael Trettel				
	,/\	Michael Trettel				

Michael Trettel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3673

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The proposed amendment to the specification appears to be incomplete, since there are no sections for the "Brief Description Of The Drawings" and "Description Of The Preferred Embodimen" present. It also appears that the applicant is attempting to amend the original specification by presentation of a substitute specification, when the specification has been already been replaced by a substitute specification filed January 12, 2005. The new Abstract has not been presented on a separate sheet. New claims 6 to 9 have the following noted problems: in line 1 of claim 6 there is no antecedent basis for "The product/invention", in line 3 —are—should be inserted after "layers", and "secures" should be changed to —secured—. Claim 7 depends from claim 2, which would be cancelled by the amendment. Claim 9 depends from claim 4, which would also be cancelled by the amendment